Reconsideration and allowance of the above-identified application are

respectfully requested. Upon entry of this Amendment, claims 1 and 2-16 will be

pending.

The Examiner's arguments have been carefully considered. Applicants present

this amendment and the following arguments in an effort to obtain allowance of the

application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as

being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,982,575 to Choi. The Examiner argues that

Choi discloses a VCR with pole base assemblies (52), a loading gear (70), and elastic

member (80) and link member (72) connected therebetween. The Examiner argues

that the elastic member has a plurality of integral protrusions (82), and has a concave

shape when bent. Finally the Examiner argues that the loading gear is fixedly attached

to the linking member. The Examiner admits that Choi does not show an elastic rod

connected between the loading gear and the linking member. The "elastic rod" of Choi

is connected between the pole base and the linking member. However, the Examiner

argues that it would have been obvious to connect the elastic member between the

loading gear and the linking member, rather than between the linking member and the

pole base.

Applicants note with appreciation that claims 2-10 are allowable, but rejected

as depending from a rejected base claim. Applicants have amended claim 1 to

incorporate the elements of claim 2. Claim 2 has been canceled and claims 3 and 9

-5-

amended to depend from claim 1, rather than canceled claim 2. Applicants request

allowance of claims 1-10.

Claim 11 has been amended to incorporate "a deformation restricting means."

Choi does not teach or suggest a deformation restricting means. Accordingly,

allowance of claims 11-15 is requested.

Independent claim 16 has been added by amendment. Applicants believe claim

16 to be allowable over Choi. Claim 16 requires "an elastic rod integrally formed with

the loading gear. As discussed in the specification, integrally forming the elastic rod

with the loading gear is advantageous. For example, by integrally forming the elastic

rod with the loading gear, both can be made, for example, by molding of a synthetic

resin material which is easy to form and also inexpensive (see paragraph [0040]).

Choi does not teach an elastic rod integrally formed with the loading gear. At best,

Choi discloses a rigid link member "fixedly attached" to the linking member, as

discussed in the office action. Because Choi does not teach an elastic rod integrally

formed with the loading gear, Choi does not anticipate or render obvious claim 16.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of claim 16.

In view of the above, it is believed that the application is in condition for

allowance and notice to this effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner

have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the

telephone number indicated below.

-6-

Appl. No. 10/679,380

Amdt. Dated November 18, 2005

Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2005

Respectfully Submitted,

Christian C. Michel Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 46,300

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. 1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 659-9076

Dated: Norch 8, 2005